



Model evaluation - Day 5

CoSMo 2017
Gunnar Blohm

“All models are wrong, but some are useful” (Box 1976)

“The words *true model* represent an oxymoron”
(Burnham & Anderson 2002)

Success?

- ▶ You have done everything right...
 - ▶ Chose right toolkit
 - ▶ Built model (s)
 - ▶ Fit to data
 - ▶ Selected the right number of parameters / the best model
 - ▶ Estimated parameters and bootstrapped 95% CIs
 - ▶ Cross-validated
 - ▶ Compared your best model to alternatives
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ Does that mean you have a good model now?
- ▶ How can we know?

What's a good model? – see philosophy

- ▶ **Models are potentially good if they**
 - ▶ Answer our research question (meet the goal) - pragmatism
 - ▶ Capture general principles
 - ▶ Advance our mechanistic understanding (beyond what's directly observable!)
 - ▶ Make testable predictions, i.e. reduce the space of potential answers to a specific question
 - ▶ Save animal lives (bc only a few experiments are needed)
 - ▶ Generalize! (many data sets)
 - ▶ Have a practical use (e.g. simulation of drug effects on behavior)
 - ▶ Are falsifiable (Popper)
 - ▶ ...

What's a good model?

▶ Models should

- ▶ Be as simple as possible and as complex as necessary – cf. Occam's razor (“shave away all that is unnecessary”)
 - ▶ Principle of parsimony (Box & Jenkins 1970)
 - ▶ Bias-variance trade-off with # model parameters
- ▶ Gain mechanistic understanding of an experimental phenomenon
- ▶ Identify hypotheses, assumptions, unknowns
 - ▶ Make them explicit
- ▶ Make quantitative predictions
 - ▶ E.g. parameters with biological interpretation
- ▶ Build a theoretical brain as a model of the real brain (stroke lesions etc)
- ▶ Inspire new technologies, facilitate translation
- ▶ Models of diseases to help treatment, rehabilitation, quality of life

Brainstorming

1) Out of experiment generalization
New experiments

2) Out of sample generalization
- Occam's Razor Same experiment/new data

→ Reproducibility?

- DATA/EXPERIMENT?
- MODEL?

3) New insight ← predictions on new domains
low interpretability? high interpretability?

4) Usefulness
- prediction
- simulate interventions → policy changes

5) Elegance = Non arbitrary - structure

6) Unification/Subsumption

7) Make assumptions explicit!

8) Transfer knowledge by model equivalence between domains

9) Model $\xleftrightarrow{\text{interface}}$ Data
connects
if not revise

**“Everything should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler”
(Einstein)**

What's a good model?

▶ Interfacing with data

- ▶ The model needs to be interpretable with respect to the data
- ▶ Explicit representation of potentially measurable variables
- ▶ Model needs to enable answering the questions at hand
- ▶ (Data needs to be diverse enough!)

▶ Representing causal linkages

- ▶ Bridging gap between neural properties, computational objectives and behaviour
- ▶ Causal effect of manipulations (pharma, lesion, conditions, ...)
- ▶ Computational models are the only way!

Hard problems

- ▶ **Model formulation / specification**
 - ▶ How to find an appropriate set of candidate models?
 - ▶ The most original, innovative part of scientific work is the phase leading to the proper question
 - ▶ Requires critical thinking, intuition, creativity
- ▶ **When is a model falsified?**
 - ▶ When are contradictory experimental results due to experimental design problems?
 - ▶ How much evidence is needed to be sure?
 - ▶ Independent confirmation?
 - ▶ Can we compute $p(\text{model} \mid \text{all data})$?
 - ▶ Credit assignment in hierarchical models – which part is wrong?